

### REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 8-10 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 as being indefinite, claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss in view of Gielow et al., claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss in view of Gielow et al. and further in view of Berlinger, Jr., and claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Weiss in view of Gielow et al. and further in view of Berlinger, Jr. and Takeyama. Claim 5, 6, 7 and 11-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 8-10 and 16-18 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Claim 1 is now amended to include all the limitations of the allowable claim 5 which is canceled. The amended claim 1 is allowable. By virtue of dependency claims 2-4 and 7-18 should also be allowable.

New independent claim 19 is presented to claim the allowable subject matter of the original claim 11.

The above amendment has been made without prejudice. Claims 1-4, and 7-19 are now in full condition for allowance. The indefiniteness in claims 8-10 and 16-18 pointed out by the examiner has been corrected in the above amendment. A replacement sheet of

the amended drawing FIG. 2 is submitted for approval. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this application.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Jason Z. Lin  
Agent for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 37,492  
(408) 627-4082